

Post Office Dept.  
19 December 1831

Hon. C. Lyon  
H. of Reps.

I have received some communication objecting to the establishment of a line of four horse post coaches on the route from Smithland via Eddyville to Hopkinsville &c and now address you for the purpose of ascertaining more distinctly the facts in respect to the case. The improvement was represented to the Department to be one of great importance to that section of the state, and, with a view to a beneficial extension of the facilities of this Department, I made a contract with Mr. Gray at \$1500 per annum to convey the mail from Russellville to Smithland via Eddyville three times a week in four horse Post coaches. But, as objections have been urged to this arrangement, I regard it possible that I may not have correctly understood the wishes of the people of your District on this subject and consequently have acted differently from what, if otherwise advised, I would have done - It is my intention to give at least six Eastern mails (and if possible seven) to Smithland, but the funds of the Department will not admit of my running the mail six times on the route via Princeton, and and three times via Eddyville.

If then you are of opinion that the public will be accommodated by running the whole of the trips on the route via Princeton and none via Eddyville to Smithland the propriety of discontinuing the present arrangement will be attentively considered - If the present arrangement is determined against the mail on the route between Princeton and Eddyville will be carried as heretofore -

As the contracts go into operation on the first of January next an immediate answer is desired -

W. T. B.

Post Office Dept.  
20 December 1831

Hon. Daniel Monroe  
House of Reps.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your communication of the 15<sup>th</sup>. Inst. enclosing a letter from the Post Master at Monroe, Ga. to your address representing that complaints are made by Mr. John A. Byrd on account of having been superseded in his Contract for route No. 2373. & an alteration of that route from Athens to Madison

In reply, I am instructed to say, that the alteration of the route was recommended by the highest authority & it was made and established for the convenience of the public & not for the benefit of Mr. Byrd.

O. T. B. T. B.  
20<sup>th</sup>

Hon. A. H. Sheppard  
House Reps.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13<sup>th</sup>. Inst. and in reply I am instructed to inform you, that route No. 2029. Lexington N.C. to Ararat N.C. is under contract to Mr. John M. Scales.

The Dept. is not advised of any complaint against the Contractor, but should there be cause hereafter, for a change, the recommendation of the Hon. A. H. Sheppard is entitled to the highest respect and the application of Mr. Philip Iron will be duly considered.

O. T. B. T. B.  
20<sup>th</sup>

Hon. W. Robinson  
U. S. Senate

Instructions have been given for the supplying of Chicago (the seat of Gov. in Illinois) with a mail once in two weeks -

C. T. B.

Post Office Dept.  
26. Decr. 1831

Hon. Thomas S. Foster  
House of Reps.

I have the honor to enclose to you a letter addressed to Drury Wilkins Esq. Mail Contractor, by which you will be informed that the Postmaster General has directed the extension of Route No. 2375. Monticello Ga. via Gainesville to Clarkesville Ga.

With regard to the case of Mr. Robert Wolcott, a contract has been sent him to take effect from the 1<sup>st</sup>. Jan 1831. at which time he commenced the service & pay will be made him from that date.

Please forward the letter to Mr. Wilkins

O. B. '73.

20<sup>th</sup>

Hon. St. W. Connor

House Reps.

I have the honor to enclose to you a letter addressed to Messrs. Sherrill & Turner Mail Contractors, which after you have perused it, you will please forward to them.

O. B. '73.

20<sup>th</sup>

Hon. W. Lewis  
House Reps.

The enclosed petition is respectfully referred to you, to be disposed of as you may think requisite. This route is not established by law.

O. B. '73.

Post Office Dept.  
21 December 1831

Hon. Samuel Smith  
U. S. Senate

The Postmaster General instructs me to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 18<sup>th</sup>. Inst. and to inform you that the case of the Mail Route from Baltimore to Chestertown has been decided and the acceptance issued to Captain Harris.

O. B. '73.

21<sup>st</sup>

Hon. Lewis Williams  
House Reps.

Your letter of the 20<sup>th</sup>. Inst. is received. In the late instructions to the Contractors, Messrs. McKesson & Maysey, for the extension of Route No. 2132, from Hunterville N. C. to Salem, "Panther Creek," was accidentally omitted.

The enclosed letter to the Contractors will inform you, that they have been directed to supply Panther Creek regularly with Mail accommodations. After you have read the letter, please forward it agreeably to its address.

O. B. '73.

Post Office Dept.

20 Dec. 1831

Hon. Noyes Barber

House Reps.

I am instructed by the Post

Master General to state in reply to your communication of the 16<sup>th</sup>. Inst. & the enclosed letter to your address that the expense incident to an increase of speed in the mail's transportation between New Haven & Providence of eight hours, cannot it is apprehended now be encountered, as it would require considerable additional force on the road, for which the Contractors would require to be liberally compensated - Inquiry is made however of the Contractors, & on the receipt of their terms you will be advised of the decision of the Post Master General - The establishment of a new route (via Guilford & New Haven) from New Haven. to Providence - R. I. to run on alternate days with the present route, so as to give two those points, & intermediate ones, six mails a week, (instead of three) both ways, must be left for the decision of Congress, the P.M.G. having no power to establish routes.

Upon the other branch of the subject of your Correspondent's anxiety, the leaving of Providence at noon for New London & Norwich with the Boston or Eastern mail, it is only necessary to observe, that such an order would involve either the derangement of all other mail Convoys at Providence, or the disbursement of heavy additional sums of money to hasten or expedite the arrival of the various mails coming into Providence, the very great majority of which by contract are to arrive at that place late in the afternoon or after night - neither of these alternatives is it deemed prudent to embrace, and until the renewal of the Contracts for the Eastern States & New York next Autumn, all improvements requiring additional expenditure (unless indispensable for the public interest) must be necessarily suspended - It will appear the P.M.G. much satisfaction to receive from

Post Office Dept

intelligent sources any suggestions for improvements in Post Routes in New England, and he flatters himself that at the next sitting of that important session it will be in his power materially to increase both the frequency of trips & the expedition of the mails.

O.B.B.

2 Decr. 21. 1831

Hon. John King

House Reps.

Instructions have been given the Post

Masters at New York City and Albany to forward daily Mails to Kinderhook and other intermediate offices between New York & Albany by Thorpe and Spragues Line of Stages which runs daily in Winter - The Contractors are advised to supply Kinderhook &c. &c. daily both ways with Mails -

O.B.B.

22<sup>nd</sup>

Hon. John W. Weeks

House Reps.

In reply to your favors of the

17<sup>th</sup> & 19<sup>th</sup>. Inst. & the enclosed Memorials I am instructed to say that the Postmaster General is expressly inhibited by law from increasing the pay of Contractors unless for additional services required by the Department - No such additional services have been required on the Routes in question N<sup>o</sup>. 545<sup>b</sup> & N<sup>o</sup>. 547. and the prayer of the memorialists therefore cannot be granted -

O.B.B.

## Post-Office Dept.

Decr. 21. 1831

Hon. J. H. Crawford  
H. of Reps.

The enclosed letter to Mr. Bullockton  
will apprise you of the decision of the Postmaster Genl.  
in relation to Mr. C. proposition to carry the mail  
in four-horse coaches between Magister and Union-  
burgh.

After having read it, will you be pleased  
to forward it to him.

O. B. B.

22<sup>nd</sup>

Hon. Thos. Newton

House Reps.

I have the honor to acknowledge  
the receipt of a letter from Thomas G. Broughton Esq. to  
your address, under date of the 7<sup>th</sup>. Inst. Complaining  
of the failure of the Contractors to perform agreeably to  
their Contract, on that part of Route No. 210. Winton  
to Halifax.

There has been no remissness on the part of the Dept.  
in this case. The Complaints were first communicated  
to the Dept. on the 15<sup>th</sup>. of Nov. last. The contractors  
were then written to, advised of the charges against  
them, reminded of their duty and urged to its rigid  
performance, and informed that fines would be  
promptly imposed in every case of breach of contract  
not satisfactorily explained. Since that time no com-  
plaints have been heard till the reception of your  
letter, and it was supposed the evil was corrected.

The Contractors are this day warned of the  
consequences of another days neglect to comply with  
their engagements both in letter and in spirit -

O. B. B.

T

## Post Office Dept.

Decr. 23. 1831

Hon. John Davis  
H. of Reps.

I have the honor to state in  
answer to your favor of the 21<sup>st</sup>. that the note ad-  
dressed by you to the Postmaster General at the Commencement  
of the session relative to the pay of Joseph  
Valentine for transporting the mail from Hephzibah  
to Birmingham Mass - by some mishap never came  
into my possession, or it should forthwith have re-  
ceived attention - Hephzibah - Mass is a private Office  
and its nett proceeds (now about \$70 per annum) are  
applicable to its supply of mails -

O. B. B.

23<sup>rd</sup>

Hon. F. C. Plummer

H. of Reps.

I have the honor to  
acknowledge the receipt of your favor of the 18.  
Inst. and to state that the accompanying papers  
are all that I have seen on this subject - Payment  
was declined two years ago on the ground that the  
Postmaster General is not authorized by law to  
pay for repairs on roads - The claim no doubt  
is an equitable one, but this Department is not autho-  
rized by law to liquidate it -

O. B. B.

25<sup>th</sup>

Hon Rufus McIntyre

H. of Reps.

I have the honor to enclose for your  
attention letters from the Postmaster at Damariscotta  
and Parsonsfield (Maine) relative to a charge recom-  
mended by the Postmaster at Eaton N.H. on Post  
Route No. 558. Standish to Tamworth. I will thank  
you to return the letters with such remarks as you  
may deem important to the final disposition of  
their subject matter.

O. B. B.

Post Office Dept.  
23<sup>d</sup> December 1831

Hon. John M. Robinson  
U.S. Senate

Your letter with the letters enclosed, (on the subject of James Hall's claim for carrying the mail from Shawneetown to Carlyle, the first four months of 1830) have been fully examined. We have ample evidence that Mr. Hall did carry the mail between the above named places from the first of January to the 6<sup>th</sup> of May 1830, for which service he was paid in full \$166. 46.

The Department can see no reason for making an additional allowance for said service.

O.B.B.

23<sup>d</sup>

Hon R. Boone  
Hs. Reps.

The letter of S. Emerson Esq. to you has been considered. In relation to W. Harriman I have to inform you that in all his relations with this Department he has been honorable, & his claims ought not to be disregarded. A new arrangement has just been entered into with him, to run a four horse post Coach twice a week, between Terre Haute & La. Fayette for the sum of \$1500. per Ann. The Contract for this Route was originally his, & no cause was known to the Dept. for depriving him of it.

O.B.B.

23<sup>d</sup>

Hon A. G. Hawes.  
Hs. Reps.

The P.M. Genl. instructs me to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 20<sup>th</sup> Inst. & to state that the error in D. M. Jones's bid having been corrected, — John L. Brown is the lowest bidder for Route 1804, with whom the contract has been made —

O.B.B.

Post office Dept.  
December 23<sup>d</sup> 1831

✓ Hon R. M. Johnson  
J. LeCompte  
J. Carr.  
Wm. Hendricks  
& R. Hanna.

In compliance with your request the P.M. Genl. has directed the mail to be carried three times a week between Frankfort & Indianapolis & to be run from Frankfort to Madison in one day —

O.B. B.

23<sup>d</sup>

Hon. Chilton Allen

House Reps.

Your communication of the 13<sup>d</sup> Inst. has had due consideration. In advertising for the new Contracts propositions for improvements were invited to the end that they might be adopted if consistent with a wholesome discretion. The improvements proposed in the Post intercourse between Russellville & Smithland (Ky) were deemed so favorable to the interests of the citizens of that section of the State and to be <sup>wholly worth</sup> at so very inconsiderable an expense over & above, the existing Contract for the Mail transportation between those points, that I decided at once to adopt them —

The mail is now transported three times a week and back from Russellville by Elkhorn, Hopkinsville, Princeton, Bentleville & Salem to Smithland, in two horse stages, occupying two days in the performance of the Route, at an annual charge to the Department of \$1970. Under the new Contracts the mail is provided to be carried three times a week and back from Smithland by Eddyville, Millville, Covington Springs, Hopkinsville and Elkhorn to Russellville and three times a week and back (on alternate day) from Smithland by Salem, Princeton, Hopkinsville & Elkhorn to Russellville, both in four horse post coaches instead of two horse stages, performing each Route in one day instead of two days and giving to Russellville, Elkhorn, Hopkinsville & Smith-

383

Post Office Dept.

six mails a week instead of three for \$300 per annum.  
for both Routes being an except. only of \$100 for both  
Routes besides superseding the Route from Princeton & the Route  
<sup>Princeton to</sup> Eddyville for which the sum of \$432 per annum. would  
have been expended for mail transportation, and reducing  
the difference of expenditure to \$698 per annum. a  
very inconsiderable amount when the frequency the  
expedition and improvement in the mode of  
transportation is referred to -

To the man of business and the Traveller the change  
will be highly advantageous -

The several communications of Mr Campbell & his  
protest against the Route by Eddyville as injurious  
to the old line have had all due consideration - the  
subject thoroughly revised and he informed that, that  
Route had been long petitioned for and only suspen-  
ded from being put in operation on the score of  
expense - that the offers made had removed the  
objection and the advantages of the Route made  
so apparent that I could not hesitate to close with them  
and that as the route by Eddyville would not be  
run on the same days with the route by Salem and  
Princeton I did not conceive that the old Route  
could be greatly prejudiced by the new one -

Since the receipt of your letter I have sedulous-  
ly reexamined the whole subject, and am con-  
vinced that the route by Eddyville is as essential  
to the public convenience as that by Princeton -  
In this view I am supported by the opinion of  
the Hon. Mr. Lyon the immediate Representative  
of the District thro' which the Routes pass who  
in reply to a communication made him on the  
19<sup>th</sup> Inst. Observes -

"On the subject of the mails from Russellville  
& to Smithfield if it is the intention of the De-  
partment to afford Smithfield an Eastern mail  
six times a week, which is certainly very desira-  
ble (as no part of the District I represent has  
at this time more than three mails a week)  
the arrangement to run from Hopkinsville via  
Princeton & Salem, the present route three times

Post Office Dept.

a week, and from Hopkinsville via Princeton & Salem  
"the present route three times a week, and from Hop-  
kinsville via Cerulean Springs, Millville & Eddyville  
to Smithfield three times a week, is the best and  
most equitable that can possibly be made, and must  
be a saving to the Department in as much as it  
"saves the expense of a stage Route three times a  
"week from Princeton to Eddyville, and a horse Route  
"from Hopkinsville via Cerulean Springs & Millville  
"to Princeton - The Route by Eddyville is ten miles  
"nearer and the road over better ground - The navi-  
gation of the Cumberland is good 3/4 of the year and many  
Papergers come there by Steam Boat for Nashville  
and above with a hope of getting on by water,  
who are compelled to go by land to persons  
thus situated the line by Eddyville will be a  
great accommodation - I am certain the Public  
in that section of Country will be pleased with  
the arrangement and that none will complain  
except those immediately interested in the old  
line or the stands on the road - I have no  
hesitation in saying that if the mail is to go six  
times a week that the arrangement you have  
entered into will give general satisfaction and  
afford the greatest accommodation -"

W. T. B.

Post Office Dept.  
Dec. 23. 1831

Hon. J. Grundy  
W. S. Senate

In reply to your request that the mail, (on Mail Route No. 104) from Stownd roads to Knoxville, may be carried twice a week instead of once, I have to inform you that the Hon. Mr. Blair of Je. has made a similar application, & that an individual has been written to by Mr. Blair, to know for what sum he will run an additional trip on this route, weekly, thus making the supply twice a week as proposed in your note.

Should the alteration be made, you will be informed as soon as it is done—

O. B. B.  
27<sup>th</sup>

Hon. Thomas A. Crawford

No. of Reps.

The Postmaster General instructs me to inform you that he does not deem it expedient to adopt at this time the proposition of Mr. McKeachan, Bathcart and Leigh to carry the mail twice a week, in stages between Millersburg and Barliss. This together with their incidental propositions will be reserved for future consideration.

O. B. B.

Post Office Dept.  
27 Dec. 1831

Hon. J. W. Harper  
No. of Reps.

I have the honor herewith to return you the letter of Mr. Potter submitted by you a few days since for the consideration of Postmaster General - Mr. Potter it appears is carrying the mail from Concord by Shaker Village to Meredith Bridge, under the contract of Jonathan S. Moulton, who has the route from Concord to Conway, and of which the Concord & Meredith Bridge Mail is a part - Mr. Potter is not known to the Department, as a mail contractor, and between contractors and their agents the Department declines interfering - Mr. Potter must look to his employer & not to the Department for his compensation -

Moulton has given Bond for the faithful fulfilment of his contract, and the Postmaster at Concord is advised, that should he (Moulton) fail to provide for the carrying of the Shaker village mail, to employ some faithful carrier at once to do so, and the expense incurred will be deducted out of Moulton's pay, which he is advised - It is not deemed advisable to make a new Contract for a small section of a Route already under contract, especially as the means of enforcing the fulfilment of existing engagements are within the power of the Department -

O. B. B.

Post Office Dept.  
28 Decr. 1831—

Hon Edw. C. Reed  
House of Reps.

I have the honor to state in reply to the enclosed Petition and the proposals of Mr. Livingston the Contractor for the Mail's transportation between Clitterings & New Woodstock N.Y. that independent of the objection to the granting of the prayer of the Memorialists which would grow out of the heavy additional disbursement demanded for the contemplated improvement, the Route has not been established by law and to achieve the views of the Memorialists the action of Congress will be necessary, the Postmaster General having no power to establish the Route without Law.—

O. B. B.

28<sup>th</sup>

Hon. G. Cooke.

Ho. Reps.

I have the honor to state in reply to your queries of the 23<sup>rd</sup> Inst: that the following Routes were let to Mail Contractors in the year 1828. at the sums (respectively) stated viz.

No 361 From Providence R. I. to New London Ct.	\$650-
" 362 " Hartford "	99.36-
. 398 " Worcester-Mass-	425-
. 387 " Springfield "	800-

It may be satisfactory to observe that on No. 361. the mail is transported but twice a week & back on Nos. 362, 398, 387. three times a week & back, all in Stages.

O.B.B.

Post Office Dept.  
28 December 1831

Hon. Rufus M. Cutler  
Ho. Reps.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your favor of the 26<sup>th</sup> Inst: and to state that the alteration prayed for by the Postmaster at Eaton N.H. in Post Route N<sup>o</sup>. 558. Standish to Lanesworth, is refused for the very satisfactory reasons assigned by yourself and the Postmasters at Dams Mills, Parsonfield & South Parsonfield, who will be respectively advised of the decision of the Postmaster General in the premises.

O.B. B.  
28<sup>th</sup>

Hon R. M. Johnson  
Ho. Reps.

I am instructed by the Post Master General to state in answer to the several letters of Mr. De Conways referred by you to this Department, that Route N<sup>o</sup>. 1745 from Lexington to Cincinnati was originally accepted to John Forsythe at 800 dollars per annum, but it was afterwards discovered that he had merely proposed to carry the mail on horseback for this sum.

The acceptance to Mr. Forsythe was annulled and the route awarded to Messrs. A. S. Ross & Co. at 794 dollars per ann. the mail to be carried by them twice a week, in two horse stages. Mr. De Conway's proposal for the same service was 1200 dollars per ann. exceeding by several hundred dollars that of A. S. Ross & Co. With regard to Mr. Conway's property now on the roads they have been informed that their compliance with the conditions appended to the "advertisement for proposals" is required by the Department.

O.B.B.

Post Office Dept.  
Decr. 28. 1831

Hon M. Dickerson  
W. S. Senate

Your application on behalf of the citizens of West Jersey has been considered, & the Post Master Genl. directs me to inform you that all you ask shall be granted. The mail shall be transported three times a week in 4 horse Post coaches between Phila. and Port Elizabeth via Woodbury and carpenters Landing - and on the other Route, six times a week in 4 horse coaches between Phila. and Bridgetown, and twice a week in 2 horse stages between Bridgetown & Cape Island.

O.B. B.

28<sup>th</sup>

Hon Bedford Brown  
W. S. Senate

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of a Petition from Citizens of Caswell and Person Counties. N.C. requesting that the mail be conveyed on Route No. 2138. Hillsboro N.C. to Milton, in a two horse Stage, once a week; & I am instructed to inform you, that the Contractor for that Route has been written to and requested to state to the Dept. the lowest sum, for which he would engage to run agreeably to that arrangement.

As soon as an answer shall have been received, the Postmaster General will decide upon the expediency of granting the request of the petitioners.

O.B. B.

29<sup>th</sup>

Hon. R. M. Johnson  
House Reps.

After reading the enclosed letter to James F. Robinson Esq. will you be pleased to forward it to him -

O.B. B.

Post Office Dept.  
Decr. 28. 1831

Hon. A. H. Steppard  
H. C. Reps.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 23<sup>rd</sup>. Inst. and in reply, am instructed to inform you, that a contract has been sent to Mr William A. Lash for Route No. Salem N.C. to Bethania. He has been directed to commence performing on said Route, on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of January 1832 -

O.B. B.  
29<sup>th</sup>

Hon. Mr. Sevier

H. C. Reps.

The Postmaster General directs me to inform you, that the Contractor on Route N. 254 has been instructed to run in the old road between Little Rock & Pine Bluff, & from Vielmont to Babers on Pennington's Settlement - that the Contractor on Route from Little Rock to Fayetteville has been required to visit Mulberry in Compliance with your request.

On the subject of supplying Jackson C. H. with a mail, the Postmasters at Little Rock & Washington C. H. were written to in Decr. 1830. & in April 1831. - They were requested to inform the Dept. how this office should be supplied & from what point. To neither of these communications has there been received any answer. They have been again written to & required to give an immediate answer. If the situation of this office (Jackson C. H.) relative to the nearest Postoffices in the Territory, is known to you, the Dept. will be gratified at the reception of any facts touching the case - For the establishing of the office which you ask for, the papers have been referred to the office of Appointments -

O.B. B.

Post Office Dept.  
29 Decr. 1831.

Hon. G. H. Barstow

Do. of Reps.

I am instructed by the Post Master General to State in reply to your communication of the 27<sup>th</sup> Inst. that the mail may be sent three times a week & back from Nichols to Smithboro N.Y. as you request, and that the sum of \$100 per Ann. will be allowed therefor, and a Contract made with such person as you may designate, the service to commence on the 1<sup>st</sup> January 1832 (or as soon thereafter as practicable) and end 31<sup>st</sup> Decr. 1832 with the other contracts in N.Y. — This arrangement will supersede the necessity of the Route from Windham & Putney Nichols to Ovego, and order has been taken to have it forthwith discontinued —

You will please advise the Dept what further steps you may wish taken in the premis.

O.B. 73.  
29<sup>th</sup>

Hon. Horace Everett  
Do. Reps.

In reply to your favor of the 24<sup>th</sup> Inst. and the enclosed communications to your address, I have to observe that, the pay for Post Route No 477. Woodstock to Bethel - Vt. has been forwarded to Hiram Cutting & Samuel Ford the original contractors up to the 1<sup>st</sup> Oct 1831 and from that date it will be forwarded to Jonas Butting & Charles C. Phillips of Woodstock - Vt - to whom the contract has been assigned (or transferred if they prefer that phrase) - The pay for the Route is \$31 62 per Quarter - and will be regularly transmitted at Quarter day or as soon thereafter as practicable to make out the Checks & Drafts = the enclosed letters properly belong to the files of the Dept. & if not of moment to Mr. Everett I would request them to be returned -

O.B. 73.

Post Office Dept.  
6 December 29. 1831.

Hon. D. Lewis

Do. Reps.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13<sup>th</sup> inst. which was handed from the office of Appointments on the 23<sup>rd</sup> inst.

In relation to your request for a route to Dale Ch & the establishing an office there & also at Peermans Ferry, I have to State, that said request was made by you to the office of Appointments under date of the 1<sup>st</sup> March 1831 - that the two offices were established immediately; that from some cause the contents of your letter were not made known to this office, but it was detained on the files of the office of appointments, & until the reception of yours of the 13<sup>th</sup> this office was ignorant of your request as contained in said letter to the Office of Appointments.

Instructions have been given for the immediate supply of these offices from Montezuma.

O.B. 73.  
30<sup>th</sup>

Hon. R. M. Johnson  
Do. Reps.

After you shall have read the enclosed letter to Messrs. Hall & Trotter, will you be pleased to forward it to them —

O.B. B.  
30<sup>th</sup>

Hon. James Ford

Do. Reps.

After reading the enclosed letter to Mr. Shurtleff. will you be pleased to forward it to him

O.B. B.